The 2020 target for eelgrass is to increase the acres of eelgrass in Puget Sound by 20 percent from the 2000 to 2008 baseline period - an increase from about 53,100 acres to about 63,700.

TIMEFRAME FOR BENCHMARKS²

2011	NTAs 2012 – 2013	2014	2016	2018	2020
Over 11 years of sampling, Soundwide	B6.1 Improve data and information to				
eelgrass has increased. However, long-	protect eelgrass in sensitive areas.	Protection	Protection	Protection	Protection
term trends at individual sites show that	DNR carries out a variety of programs to				
twice as many sites are declining than	support eelgrass protection and	Employ stressors guidance (B.6.1) to			
are increasing,	recovery, and will emphasize the	reduce eelgrass acreage loss of eelgrass			
indicating that smaller	following activities:	beds in existence in 2011 by 25%.	beds in existence in 2011 by 35%.	beds in existence in 2011 by 65%.	beds in existence in 2011 by 100%.
eelgrass populations are	Estimate the total area of eelgrass				
decreasing or disappearing	in Puget Sound annually and	DNR applies stewardship measures in			
with alarming consistency.	provide feedback on the	Aquatic HCP in all new and renewing use	Aquatic HCP in all new and renewing use	Aquatic HCP in all new and renewing use	Aquatic HCP in all new and renewing use
These results suggest a	effectiveness of efforts to protect	authorizations to eliminate harm to			
contraction of eelgrass	and restore this critical habitat. This	eelgrass on state-owned aquatic land			
into larger beds with a loss	information will track progress	(about 50% of eelgrass is on SOAL).			
of remote populations that	toward the Partnership's target to				
may be used for habitat	increase eelgrass area by 20% by	Shoreline Master Programs (SMPs)	SMPs prevent 100% of new shoreline	SMPs prevent 100% of new shoreline	SMPs prevent 100% of new shoreline
connectivity and migration. ³	2020. Annual sound-wide estimates	prevent 100% of new shoreline armoring	armoring (hazard exemption).	armoring (hazard exemption).	armoring (hazard exemption).
	will be produced within one year of	(hazard exemption).			
	sampling in order to assure that	Hydraulic Code includes best available	Hydraulic Code applies best available	Hydraulic Code applies best available	Hydraulic Code applies best available
	information is delivered in a timely	nearshore science.	nearshore science to 100% permit	nearshore science to 100% permit	nearshore science to 100% permit
	manner to guide management		applications.	applications.	applications.
	actions.				
	Synthesize and publish guidance	50% HPAs require avoidance of all	70% HPAs require avoidance of all	90% HPAs require avoidance of all	100% HPAs require avoidance of all
	based on the best available science	impacts to eelgrass.	impacts to eelgrass.	impacts to eelgrass.	impacts to eelgrass.
	describing key eelgrass stressors in				
	Puget Sound.	DFW requires removal of bulkheads			
	Convene partners in state and local	before replacement and if replacement is			
	government, Tribes, the federal	necessary (hazard exemption), requires			
	agencies and non-governmental	soft techniques.	soft techniques.	soft techniques.	soft techniques.
	and business groups to develop a				
1	broad-based strategy to achieve	Ecology begins the development of water	Ecology adopts final water quality	Ecology develops TMDL cleanup plans for	100% eelgrass areas in Puget Sound

¹ This chart has not undergone any review, rigorous or otherwise, and is intended as an example of a strategic framework **only**. Much research and policy development needs to occur under NTAs B.6.1 and B.6.2 in 2012 and 2013 before a chart such as this can be accurately populated. That said, recent eelgrass stressor research prioritizes overwater structures, shoreline armoring, suspended sediment, nutrient-driven algal blooms, anthropogenic contaminations, dredging and filling, sea level rise, sea temperature rise, disease, construction, and freshwater input, so we know more than enough to begin to lay out a schedule of regulatory and other actions that need to occur to meet the 2020 target (see RM Thom, KE Buenau, C Jud, VI Cullinan, *Eelgrass (Zostera marina L.) Stressors in Puget Sound*, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, June 2011, pages 7.6 and 8.1).

² "Benchmark" is used here from the statutory definition in RCW 90.71.010 (3): "Benchmarks' means measurable interim milestones of achievements established to demonstrate progress toward a goal, objective, or outcome."

³ Puget Sound Partnership, *Puget Sound Vital Signs, Eelgrass Indicator*, <u>www.psp.wa.gov/vitalsigns/eelgrass.php</u>

- the 2020 eelgrass recovery target.
 Through the habitat conservation measures of the Aquatic Lands Habitat Conservation Plan, condition aquatic use authorizations to ensure new or retrofitted over-water structures do not impact important habitats such as eelgrass and kelp beds.
- Research how other estuaries have recovered seagrasses and identify proprietary tools implemented in other successful eelgrass recovery efforts that can be deployed here to prevent further damage to or loss of eelgrass on state-owned aquatic lands

B6.2 Use a variety of mechanisms to advance priority eelgrass restoration projects.

B62 NTA 1: DNR will identify and recommend sites that are suitable for eelgrass restoration in Puget Sound Sites will be selected using habitat suitability analysis, hydrodynamic modeling, and their resilience to stressors. This will include identification of sites on stateowned aquatic lands with a focus on areas with long-term protections already in place.

Restoration

DNR & Ecology deploy Puget SoundCorps crews to plant [1,000]* acres of eelgrass.

quality standards that protect eelgrass from nitrogen, toxics, TSS, and other pollutants.

DNR, Corps, Port and other dredgers avoid dredging and or filling on 50% or projects that would harm eelgrass.

standards that protect eelgrass from nitrogen, toxics, TSS, and other pollutants.

DNR, Corps, Port and other dredgers avoid dredging and or filling on 50% or projects that would harm eelgrass. those areas of Puget Sound where water quality standards that protect eelgrass from nitrogen, toxics, TSS, and other pollutants are not being achieved.

DNR, Corps, Port and other dredgers avoid dredging and or filling on 75% or projects that would harm eelgrass.

meet water quality standards that protect eelgrass from nitrogen, toxics, TSS, and other pollutants.

DNR, Corps, Port and other dredgers avoid dredging and or filling on 100% or projects that would harm eelgrass.

Restoration

DNR & Ecology deploy Puget SoundCorps crews to plant [3,029]* acres of eelgrass.

Restoration

DNR & Ecology deploy Puget SoundCorps crews to plant [3,029]* acres of eelgrass.

DNR monitors all eelgrass planted after 2013 and employs adaptive management tools to address any failures.

Restoration

DNR & Ecology deploy Puget SoundCorps crews to plant [3,544]* acres of eelgrass.

DNR monitors all eelgrass planted after 2013 and employs adaptive management tools to address any failures.

Restoration

Net increase of 10,600 acres. *note that, assuming protection efforts expand eelgrass, not all 10,600 acres will need to be planted.

DNR monitors all eelgrass restored after 2013 and employs adaptive management tools to address any failures.